

Optimization

There's more to performance than asymptotic complexity(time complexity).
But all the instructions are not consume the same amount of time. Constant factors matter too! So we need to understand system to optimize performance.

- How programs are compiled and executed
- How modern processors and memory system operate
- How to measure performance and identify bottlenecks
- How to improve performance without destroying code modularity and generality

Provide efficient mapping of program to machine code

- Register allocation
- Code selection and ordering (scheduling)
- Dead code elimination
- Eliminating minor inefficiencies

Don't improve asymptotic efficiency.

Generally Useful Optimizations

Code Motion(Hoisting)

Reduce frequency where computation performed. If it will always produce the same result, then move it to a place where it is computed once and reused.

Especially moving code out of loop.

```
void set_row(double *a, double *b, long i, long n) {
    long j;
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {
        a[i * n + j] = b[j];
    }
}
```

Default	Optimized
<pre>void set_row(double *a, double *b, long i, long n) { long j; for (j = 0; j < n; j++) { a[i * n + j] = b[j]; } }</pre>	<pre>void set_row_opt(double *a, double *b, long i, long n) { long j; int ni = n * i; for (j = 0; j < n; j++) { a[ni + j] = b[j]; } }</pre>
4_1.o: file format elf64-x86-64 Disassembly of section .text: 0000000000000000 <set_row>: 0: f3 0f 1e fa 4: b8 00 00 00 00 9: eb 19 b: 49 89 c8 e: 4c 0f af c2 12: 49 01 c0 15: f2 0f 10 04 c6 1a: f2 42 0f 11 04 c7 20: 48 83 c0 01 24: 48 39 c8 27: 7c e2 29: c3 endbr64 mov \$0x0,%eax jmp 24 <set_row+0x24> mov %rcx,%r8 imul %rdx,%r8 add %rax,%r8 movsd (%rsi,%rax,8),%xmm0 movsd %xmm0, (%rdi,%r8,8) add \$0x1,%rax cmp %rcx,%rax jle b <set_row+0xb> ret	4_2.o: file format elf64-x86-64 Disassembly of section .text: 0000000000000000 <set_row>: 0: f3 0f 1e fa 4: 48 85 c9 7: 7e 20 9: 48 0f af d1 d: 48 8d 14 d7 11: b8 00 00 00 00 16: f2 0f 10 04 c6 1b: f2 0f 11 04 c2 20: 48 83 c0 01 24: 48 39 c1 27: 75 ed 29: c3 endbr64 test %rcx,%rcx jle 29 <set_row+0x29> imul %rcx,%rdx lea (%rdi,%rdx,8),%rdx mov \$0x0,%eax movsd (%rsi,%rax,8),%xmm0 movsd %xmm0, (%rdx,%rax,8) add \$0x1,%rax cmp %rax,%rcx jne 16 <set_row+0x16> ret
<code>imul</code> is located in the loop.	can see that <code>imul</code> is located out of the loop.

imul is located in the loop.

Above two codes have same number of instructions. But optimized version has **fewer executed instructions**.

GCC will do this with `-O1` options

Reduction in Strength

Replace costly operation with simpler one.

for example: power of 2 multiply to shift operation. normally, multiply and divide are expensive exmaple. on Intel Nehalem, `imul` requires 3 CPU cylces on the other hand, `add` requires 1 cycle.

Default	Optimized
<pre>void test_reduction(double *a, double *b, long i, long n) { int i, j; for (i = 0; i < n; i++) { int ni = n * i; for (j = 0; j < n; j++) { a[ni + j] = b[j]; } } }</pre>	<pre>void test_reduction_opt(double *a, double *b, long i, long n) { int i, j; int ni = 0; for (i = 0; i < n; i++) { for (j = 0; j < n; j++) { a[ni + j] = b[j]; } ni += n; } }</pre>

Share Common Subexpressions

Reuse portations of expressions

GCC will do this with `-O1`

Default	Optimized
<pre>double test_scs(double* val, long i, long j, long n) { double up, down, left, right; up = val[(i - 1) * n + j]; down = val[(i + 1) * n + j]; left = val[i * n + (j - 1)]; right = val[i * n + (j + 1)]; return up + down + left + right; }</pre>	<pre>double test_scs_opt(double *a, double *b, long i, long n) { double up, down, left, right; long inj = i * n + j; up = a[inj - n]; down = a[inj + n]; left = b[inj - 1]; right = b[inj + 1]; return up + down + left + right; }</pre>

4_3.o: file format elf64-x86-64

Disassembly of section .text:

0000000000000000 <test_scs>:

0: f3 0f 1e fa endbr64

4: 48 89 f8 mov %rdi,%rax

7: 48 83 ee 01 sub \$0x1,%rsi

b: 48 0f af f1 imul %rcx,%rsi

f: 48 8d 3c 4e lea (%rsi,%rcx,2),%rdi

13: 48 8d 0c 0e lea (%rsi,%rcx,1),%rcx

17: 48 01 d6 add %rdx,%rsi

1a: 48 01 d7 add %rdx,%rdi

1d: f2 0f 10 04 f0 movsd (%rax,%rsi,8),%xmm0

22: f2 0f 58 04 f8 addsd (%rax,%rdi,8),%xmm0

27: 48 01 ca add %rcx,%rdx

2a: f2 0f 58 44 d0 f8 addsd -0x8(%rax,%rdx,8),%xmm0

30: f2 0f 58 44 d0 08 addsd 0x8(%rax,%rdx,8),%xmm0

36: c3 ret

Above dump shows only one `imul`, which shows that share common subexpressions are applied.

Remove Unnecessary Procedure

Think with your intuition.

Optimization Blockers

Compilers cannot always optimize your code.

```
void lower(char *s) {
    size_t i;
    for (i = 0; i < strlen(s); i++) {
        if (s[i] >= 'A' && s[i] <= 'Z') {
            s[i] -= ('A' - 'a');
        }
    }
}
```

Above code's performance is bad. time quadruples when double string length.

Because `strlen` is executed on every loop. so `strlen` is $O(n)$, therefore overall performance of `lower` is $O(n^2)$

Therefore we optimized by Code Motion by moving the calculation length parts to out of the loop.

```
void lower(char *s) {
    size_t i;
    size_t len = strlen(s);
    for (i = 0; i < len; i++) {
        if (s[i] >= 'A' && s[i] <= 'Z') {
            s[i] -= ('A' - 'a');
        }
    }
}
```

#1 Procedure Calls

Procedure may have side effects. and Function may not return same value for given arguments.

So compiler treats procedure call as a black box. Weak optimizations near them. Therefore strong optimizations like **Code Motion** are not applied.

In order to apply strong optimizations, First, use of inline function with `-O1` option, or **do your self**.

Memory Aliasing

```
void sum_rows(double *a, double *b, long n) {
    long i, j;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        b[i] = 0;
        for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            b[i] += a[i * n + j];
        }
    }
}
```

4.4.o: file format elf64-x86-64

Disassembly of section .text:

```
0000000000000000 <sum_rows>:
 0:  f3 0f 1e fa          endbr64
 4:  48 85 d2             testq %rdx,%rdx
 7:  7e 4d               jle 56 <sum_rows+0x56>
 9:  49 89 f0             movq %rsi,%r8
 c:  4c 8d 0c d5 00 00 00 leaq 0x0(,%rdx,8),%r9
13:  00
14:  4a 8d 0c 0f          leaq (%rdi,%r9,1),%rcx
18:  4a 8d 3c 0e          leaq (%rsi,%r9,1),%rdi
1c:  48 f7 da             negq %rdx
1f:  48 8d 34 d5 00 00 00 leaq 0x0(,%rdx,8),%rsi
26:  00
27:  4c 89 c2             movq %r8,%rdx
2a:  49 c7 00 00 00 00 00 movq $0x0,(%r8)
31:  48 8d 04 31          leaq (%rcx,%rsi,1),%rax
35:  f2 0f 10 02          movsd (%rdx),%xmm0
39:  f2 0f 58 00          addsd (%rax),%xmm0
3d:  f2 0f 11 02          movsd %xmm0,(%rdx)
41:  48 83 c0 08          addq $0x8,%rax
45:  48 39 c8             cmpq %rcx,%rax
48:  75 eb               jne 35 <sum_rows+0x35>
4a:  49 83 c0 08          addq $0x8,%r8
4e:  4c 01 c9             addq %r9,%rcx
51:  49 39 f8             cmpq %rdi,%r8
54:  75 d1               jne 27 <sum_rows+0x27>
56:  c3                 retq
```

Compiler leave `b[i]` on every iteration. Because compiler must consider possibility that the updates will affect program behavior. (`b` and `a` is shared, memory aliasing)

Memory aliasing means two different memory references specify single location.

in C, it is easy to have happen. because address arithmetic and direct access to storage structures.

```
void sum_rows(double *a, double *b, long n) {
    long i, j;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        double val = 0;
        for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            val += a[i * n + j];
        }
        b[i] = val;
    }
}
```

4.5.o: file format elf64-x86-64

Disassembly of section .text:

```
0000000000000000 <sum_rows>:
 0:  f3 0f 1e fa          endbr64
 4:  48 85 d2             testq %rdx,%rdx
 7:  7e 3f               jle 48 <sum_rows+0x48>
 9:  49 89 f0             movq %rsi,%r8
 c:  4c 8d 0c d5 00 00 00 leaq 0x0(,%rdx,8),%r9
13:  00
14:  4a 8d 0c 0f          leaq (%rdi,%r9,1),%rcx
18:  4c 01 ce             addq %r9,%rsi
1b:  48 f7 da             negq %rdx
1e:  48 c1 e2 03          shlq $0x3,%rdx
22:  48 8d 04 11          leaq (%rcx,%rdx,1),%rax
26:  66 0f ef c0          pxor %xmm0,%xmm0
2a:  f2 0f 58 00          addsd (%rax),%xmm0
2e:  48 83 c0 08          addq $0x8,%rax
32:  48 39 c8             cmpq %rcx,%rax
35:  75 f3               jne 2a <sum_rows+0x2a>
37:  f2 41 0f 11 00       movsd %xmm0,(%r8)
3c:  49 83 c0 08          addq $0x8,%r8
40:  4c 01 c9             addq %r9,%rcx
43:  49 39 f0             cmpq %rsi,%r8
46:  75 da               jne 22 <sum_rows+0x22>
48:  c3                 retq
```

By introducing local local variables, we can easy to get optimized code.

Exploiting Instruction-Level Parallelism(ILP)

Execute multiple instructions at the same time. it can reduce average instruction cycle, which needs general understanding of modern processor design: HW can execute many operations in parallel.

- performance limited by data dependency

simple transformations can yield dramatic performance improvement.

Superscalar Processors

Issue and Execute Multiple Instructions in one cycle.

pipelining -> data dependency.

for example Haswell CPU Functional Units

- 2 load
- 1 store
- 4 integer
- 2 FP mult
- 1 FP add
- 1 FP div
- 1 int mult

Programming with AVX2

YMM register: 256bit, total 16 registers.

SIMD Operations

for single precision

```
vaddps %ymm0, %ymm1, %ymm1 :
```

for double precision

```
vaddpd %ymm0, %ymm1, %ymm1
```